





4

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/995,615	11/29/2001	Kenneth B. Albritton	P56341	2308
75	90 10/18/2002			
Robert E. Bushnell			EXAMINER	
Suite 300 1522 K Street, N.W.			MAI, TRI M	
Washington, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3727	
·			DATE MAILED: 10/18/2002	2

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	,			
5. Patent and Trademark Office TO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Office Action Summa	ary Part of Paper No. 5			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:			
Attachment(s)				
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional a 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority to	• •			
14)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).			
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docum application from the International Bureau (PCI * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certification. 	Γ Rule 17.2(a)).			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this C	Office action.			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) a	approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s	s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □	objected to by the Examiner.			
, ,				

Status

1) 2a)□

Application/Control Number: 09/995,615

Art Unit: 3727

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Group I: figures 1-2,

Group II: figure 3,

Group III: figure 4,

Group IV: figures 5-6,

Group V: figure 7,

Group VI: figures 8 and 10,

Group VII: figure 9,

Group VIII: figures 8 and 11,

Group IX: figure 12,

Group X: figure 13,

Group XI: figure 14,

Group XII: figure 15,

Group XIII: figure 16

Group XIV: figure 17,

Group XV: figure 18, and

Group XVI: figure 19.

Application/Control Number: 09/995,615

Art Unit: 3727

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

2. A telephone call was made to Robert Bushnell on 10/16/02 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Application/Control Number: 09/995,615

Art Unit: 3727

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tri M. Mai whose telephone number is (703)308-1038. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lee W Young can be reached on (703)308-2572. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)305-3579 for regular communications and (703)305-3579 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-1148.

Tri M. Mai Examiner

Art Unit 3727

October 16, 2002